

PATENT COOPERATION TREA

BERGGREN OY AB

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

10/529705

- 1 -07- 2004

PC

Berggren Oy AB P O Box 16 FIN-00101 HELSINKI Finland WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 66)

SVA/MM

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

2 9 -06- 2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference
BP107666

International application No.
PCT/FI2003/000718

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
H04Q 7/38, H04L 12/56, H04Q 7/22

Applicant
Nokia Corporation et al.

1.**	The written op	inion established by the International Searching Authority:								
	is	is not								
	considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.									
2.	(first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:									
ŀ	Box No. I Basis of the opinion									
	Box No. II Priority									
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability									
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention									
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial citations and explanations supporting such statement										
1	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited								
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application									
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
3.	The applicant is hereb	y invited to reply to this opinion.								
	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).									
	How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.									
	Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.									
	If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.									
4.	The final date by whice (Chapter II of the PCT	h the international preliminary report on patentability) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 02-02-2005								

Authorized officer

Roger Bou Faisal /LR

Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00

Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE

Patent- och registreringsverket

Box 5055

S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

MATTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

National application No.
PCT/FI2003/000718

Box	No. I	Ba	Basis of the opinion						
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
			This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
			international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
		Ħ	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)						
			international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)						
2.	which		d to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as filed ").						
	X		international application as originally filed/furnished						
	Ħ	the de	description:						
		pages	as originally filed/fur	nished					
		pages	11 11 4 1						
		pages	received by this Authority on						
		the cla	claims:						
		pages	es as originally filed/fur	nished					
		pages							
		pages							
		pages							
	Ш	the dra	drawings:						
		pages							
		pages							
		pages							
	Ш	a sequ	quence listing and/or any related table(s) see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.						
3.		The ar	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
			the description, pages						
		Ц	the claims, Nos.						
			the drawings, sheets/figs						
			the sequence listing (specify):						
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):						
4.	This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been congo beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).								
			the description, pages						
			the claims, Nos.						
			the drawings, sheets/figs						
			the sequence listing (specify):						
		Ħ	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FI2003/000718

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

The invention according to independent claims 15, 32 and 51 differs from D1 by the step of informing the wireless system of the terminal capabilities and letting the system to deduce whether the terminal is capable of receiving the service or not. This step is not considered to solve a different problem than the one solved by the method according to claim 1. It is an obvious step to a person skilled in the art to let the system decide which services the terminal can support instead of letting the terminal itself determine which one of the services it supports.

See also D2-D4 for more information about procedures where the mobile terminal informs the wireless system of the terminal capabilities.

Thus, the invention according to independent claims 15, 32 and 51 is not considered to involve an inventive step.

Dependent claims 2-14, 16-23, 25-31, 33-34, 36-50, 52-55 and 57-59.

The invention according to dependent claims 2-14, 16-23, 25-31, 33-34, 36-50, 52-55 and 57-59 include steps and details that are obvious to a person skilled in the art, with reference to D1 and any of D2-D3. Most of the steps are directly mentioned in D1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

In mational application No.
PCT/FI2003/000718

Box No. VI Certain documents cited									
1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)									
Application No. Patent No.			Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)				
US 200	03207696	A1, E	06.11.03	06.05.2002	06.05.2002				
i									
I.									
					:				
2. Non-wri	itten disclosures				Date of written disclosure				
	Kind of non-w	ritten disclos		n-written disclosure Imonth/year)	referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)				